Exhibit 3

hearing over an issue that I feel reasonably confident
that counsel can get worked out to everyone's
satisfaction and assurance themselves, I'd be all for
that. And I don't know what timeframe you're looking at.
Today's, what, Monday morning? Maybe end of business
Wednesday, if that's possible.

2.1

2.3

2.4

THE COURT: I think that makes sense. Let me hear from Ms. Berexa or Ms. Crane about the questions that I've asked Mr. Burns. So specifically any discovery that's needed, any anticipated witnesses for any hearing if it's going to be expedited. And only with respect to an expedited hearing. I understand if this hearing is pushed out to some later date that all of the procedural issues and other things that we're talking about today may completely change. And then finally, whether there's anything different than what Mr. Burns described with respect to anticipated enforcement of the ordinance on October 28. So Ms. Berexa or Ms. Crane?

MS. CRANE: Thank you, Your Honor. This is Casey Crane. I'm actually going to make this very easy. Plaintiff fashioned their motion to seek injunctive relief against all defendants. I reached out to Stella Yarbrough from ACLU yesterday to kind of discuss whether that was appropriate to seek injunctive relief against the individuals.

Ms. Yarbrough confirmed last night in an email that they are only seeking injunctive relief against the City and against the individuals in their official capacity. So I don't know for the purposes of this motion or a hearing that we have a dog in the fight, quite frankly. So I don't think we have anything to add, in addition to what Mr. Burns said.

2.0

2.1

2.3

2.4

That being said, of course if there are conversations amongst counsel in trying to reach an agreement, we're happy to join those and cooperate to the extent possible, but I don't think that our clients in their individual capacity would be before the Court on this issue.

THE COURT: Thank you for that clarification, because it did appear to me also that the motion for injunctive relief was as to all defendants, so it's helpful to know that the plaintiff is no longer -- or maybe never was intending to proceed against the individuals -- against the officials in their -- City officials in their individual capacity because that does at least simplify some of the issues.

So then I think it's back to you,

Mr. Robotti, with respect to some of these questions.

First of all, the -- I know that you haven't had a chance to talk yet to the defendant's counsel with respect to

the prospect of a -- reaching an agreement, but it is my intention to tell you that you-all need to have intensive discussions between now and either the close of business tomorrow or first thing Thursday morning to try to see if you can reach an agreement about what's going to happen on October 28. So that's one issue that I want you to address.

2.0

2.1

2.3

2.4

Then I also want you to confirm on the record that you are not seeking injunctive relief against the City officials in their individual capacities. And then finally to the extent that you don't reach an agreement if there are witnesses that the City or the City officials in their capacity intend to call depositions of those individuals.

So if you would address those. And also respond to any other of the matters that you'd like to respond to.

MR. ROBOTTI: Yes, thank you, Judge. So with respect to whom we're seeking injunctive relief against, that is correct, we are not seeking injunctive relief against the City officials in their individual capacities because our understanding is they do not have the ability in their individual capacities to enforce this ordinance. So that is accurate.

In terms of working out an agreement, of